

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

CHRISTOPHER PLASTER	)	CASE NO.
31 Fifth Avenue	)	
Galion, Ohio 44833	)	JUDGE
	)	
on behalf of himself and those similarly	)	
situated	)	
	)	<b><u>PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT</u></b>
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	(Jury Demand Endorsed Herein)
vs.	)	
	)	
COVERT MANUFACTURING, INC.	)	
c/o Statutory Agent Donald Covert	)	
RT 6 Orewiler Road	)	
Mansfield, Ohio 44903	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

Now comes Plaintiff Christopher Plaster (“Plaintiff”), by and through counsel, and for his Complaint against Defendant Covert Manufacturing, Inc. (“Defendant”), states and alleges the following:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a “collective action” instituted by Plaintiff as a result of Defendant’s practices and policies of not paying its hourly, non-exempt employees, including Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees, for all hours worked, including overtime compensation for all hours worked over 40 hours in a workweek, in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219, as well as a “class action” pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 to remedy violations of the Ohio Minimum Fair Wage Standards Act (“OMFWSA”), R.C. 4111.03.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. The Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s FLSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

3. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's OMFWSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1367 because the claims are so related to the FLSA claims as to form part of the same case or controversy.

4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendant maintains a physical location at 328 S East Street, Galion, Ohio 44833.

5. Venue is also proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendant conducts business throughout this District and Division and a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District and Division.

### **PARTIES**

6. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff Christopher Plaster was a resident of Crawford County, Ohio.

7. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was an employee within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(e) and R.C. § 4111.03(D)(3).

8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant Covert Manufacturing, Inc. maintained its principal place of business in Crawford County, Ohio.

9. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was an employer within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and R.C. § 4111.03(D)(2).

10. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was an enterprise within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

11. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1).

12. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was an employee engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 206-207.

13. Written consents to join this action as to Count One, as and when executed by other individual plaintiffs, will be filed pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

14. Defendant is a manufacturer of pattern tools and CNC machined products for its customers in the diesel engine, heavy truck, agricultural, and hydraulic industries.

15. Defendant employed Plaintiff Christopher Plaster as a manufacturing employee between April 2017 and January 2019. Specifically, Plaintiff was a CNC machinist.

16. Defendant employed other similarly situated employees as manufacturing employees.

17. Defendant classified Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees as non-exempt and paid them an hourly wage.

18. Defendant subjected Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees to the same policies, practices and procedures, including pay policies, practices, and procedures.

19. Plaintiff worked on average between 48 and 56 hours per week.

#### **(Failure to Pay for All Hours Worked)**

20. Plaintiff and other similarly situated manufacturing employees were only paid for work performed between their scheduled start and stop times, and were not paid for the following work performed before and after their scheduled start and stop time: a) changing into and out of personal protective equipment including but not limited to earplugs and gloves; b) getting tools and equipment that were necessary to perform their manufacturing work; c) walking to their

assigned area of the manufacturing floor; d) speaking to employees on the previous shift; and/or e) performing their manufacturing work.

21. The time Plaintiff and other similarly situated manufacturing employees spent a) changing into and out of personal protective equipment including but not limited to earplugs and gloves; b) getting tools and equipment that were necessary to perform their manufacturing work; c) walking to their assigned area of the manufacturing floor; d) speaking to employees on the previous shift; and/or e) performing their manufacturing work was an integral and indispensable part of their principal activities, was required by Defendant, and was performed for Defendant's benefit.

22. Changing into and out of personal protective equipment including but not limited to earplugs and gloves; getting tools and equipment that were necessary to perform their manufacturing work; walking to their assigned area of the manufacturing floor; speaking to employees on the previous shift; and/or performing their manufacturing work are intrinsic elements of their job duties. Plaintiff and other similarly situated manufacturing employees cannot dispense with these tasks if they are to be able to perform their work.

23. Plaintiff and other similarly situated manufacturing employees were not paid for time spent a) changing into and out of personal protective equipment including but not limited to earplugs and gloves; b) getting tools and equipment that were necessary to perform their manufacturing work; c) walking to their assigned area of the manufacturing floor; d) speaking to employees on the previous shift; and/or e) performing their manufacturing work.

24. The amount of time Plaintiff and other similarly situated manufacturing employees spent on this required and unpaid work amounted to approximately 20 to 30 minutes each day.

25. As a result of Defendant's practices and policies, Plaintiff and other similarly situated manufacturing employees were not compensated for all of the time they worked, including all of the overtime hours they worked over 40 each workweek.

**(Defendant Willfully Violated the FLSA)**

26. Defendant knowingly and willfully engaged in the above-mentioned violations of the FLSA.

**COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

27. Plaintiff brings Count One of this action on his own behalf pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated who have been, are being, or will be adversely affected by Defendant's unlawful conduct.

28. The class which Plaintiff seeks to represent and for whom Plaintiff seeks the right to send "opt-in" notices for purposes of the collective action, and of which Plaintiff himself is a member, is composed of and defined as follows:

All former and current manufacturing employees of Covert Manufacturing, Inc., including those performing the same and/or substantially similar job duties and/or responsibilities for Covert Manufacturing, Inc. between June 25, 2017 and the present.

29. Plaintiff is unable to state at this time the exact size of the potential class, but upon information and belief, avers that it consists of at several hundred persons.

30. This action is maintainable as an "opt-in" collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) as to claims for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs under the FLSA. In addition to Plaintiff, numerous current and former employees are similarly situated with regard to their claims for unpaid wages and damages. Plaintiff is representative of those other employees and are acting on behalf of their interests as well as his own in bringing this action.

31. These similarly situated employees are known to Defendant and are readily identifiable through Defendant's payroll records. These individuals may readily be notified of this action and allowed to opt in pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), for the purpose of collectively adjudicating their claims for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs under the FLSA.

### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

32. Plaintiff brings Count Two of this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of himself and all other members of the class ("the Ohio Class") defined as:

All former and current manufacturing employees of Covert Manufacturing, Inc., in the State of Ohio, including those performing the same and/or substantially similar job duties and/or responsibilities for Covert Manufacturing, Inc. between June 25, 2017 and the present.

33. The Ohio Class is so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable. Plaintiff is unable to state at this time the exact size of the potential Ohio Class, but upon information and belief, avers that it consists of at least several hundred persons.

34. There are questions of law or fact common to the Ohio Class, including but not limited to the following:

- (a) whether Defendant failed to pay overtime compensation to its manufacturing employees for hours worked in excess of 40 each workweek; and
- (b) what amount of monetary relief will compensate Plaintiff and other members of the class for Defendant's violation of R.C. § 4111.03 and § 4111.10.

35. The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of other members of the Ohio Class. Named Plaintiff's claims arise out of the same uniform course of conduct by

Defendant, and are based on the same legal theories, as the claims of the other Ohio Class members.

36. Named Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Ohio Class. His interests are not antagonistic to, but rather are in unison with, the interests of the other Ohio Class members. The named Plaintiff's counsel has broad experience in handling class action wage-and-hour litigation, and is fully qualified to prosecute the claims of the Ohio Class in this case.

37. The questions of law or fact that are common to the Ohio Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members. The primary questions that will determine Defendant's liability to the Ohio Class, listed above, are common to the class as a whole, and predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members.

38. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Requiring Ohio Class members to pursue their claims individually would entail a host of separate suits, with concomitant duplication of costs, attorneys' fees, and demands on court resources. Many Ohio Class members' claims are sufficiently small that they would be reluctant to incur the substantial cost, expense, and risk of pursuing their claims individually. Certification of this case pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 will enable the issues to be adjudicated for all class members with the efficiencies of class litigation.

**COUNT ONE**  
**(Fair Labor Standards Act Violations)**

39. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully rewritten herein.

40. Defendant's practice and policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly situated manufacturing employees for all time worked and overtime compensation at a rate of one and one-

half times their regular rate of pay for all of the hours they worked over 40 each workweek violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219 and 29 CFR § 785.24.

41. Defendant's failure to keep records of all of the hours worked each workday and the total hours worked each workweek by Plaintiff and other similarly situated manufacturing employees violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219, 29 CFR § 516.2(a)(7).

42. By engaging in the above-mentioned conduct, Defendant willfully, knowingly, and/or recklessly violated the provisions of the FLSA.

43. As a result of Defendant's practices and policies, Plaintiff and other similarly situated manufacturing employees have been damages in that they have not received wages due to them pursuant to the FLSA.

**COUNT TWO**  
**(Violations of Ohio Revised Code 4111.03)**

44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully rewritten herein.

45. Defendant's practice and policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly situated manufacturing employees for all time worked and overtime compensation at a rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all of the hours they worked over 40 each workweek violated the OMFWA, R.C. § 4111.03.

46. Defendant's failure to keep records of all of the hours worked each workday and the total hours worked each workweek by Plaintiff and other similarly situated manufacturing employees violated the OMFWA, R.C. § 4111.03.

47. By engaging in the above-mentioned conduct, Defendant willfully, knowingly and/or recklessly violated the provisions of the OMFWA.

48. As a result of Defendant's practices and policies, Plaintiff and other similarly



situated manufacturing employees have been damaged in that they have not received wages due to them pursuant to the OMFWSA.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated, collectively pray that this Honorable Court:

A. Issue an order permitting this litigation to proceed as a collective action and certifying the class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. R. 23(a) and (b)(3);

B. Order prompt notice, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to all class members that this litigation is pending and that they have the right to “opt in” to this litigation;

C. Award Plaintiff and the classes he represents actual damages for unpaid wages;

D. Award Plaintiff and the classes he represents liquidated damages equal in amount to the unpaid wages found due to Plaintiff and the class;

E. Award Plaintiff and the classes he represents pre- and post-judgment interest at the statutory rate;

F. Award Plaintiff and the classes he represents attorneys’ fees, costs, and disbursements; and

G. Award Plaintiff and the classes he represents further and additional relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lori M. Griffin  
Lori M. Griffin (0085241)  
Anthony J. Lazzaro (0077962)  
Chastity L. Christy (0076977)  
The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC  
The Heritage Bldg., Suite 250

34555 Chagrin Boulevard  
Moreland Hills, Ohio 44022  
Phone: 216-696-5000  
Facsimile: 216-696-7005  
lori@lazzarolawfirm.com  
chastity@lazzarolawfirm.com  
anthony@lazzarolawfirm.com  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Lori M. Griffin  
One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff